

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/829,943	04/11/2001	Holger Glaum	204205US0	7697		
22850 75	90 06/06/2003					
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER			
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1755	5		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	- VL
	Office Action Summary	09/829,943		GLAUM ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Elizabeth D. Woo	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1755	
Period fo	<u> </u>	pears on the cover	Sneet with the C	orrespondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.2 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statuted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howenly within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timinum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) Ti	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	•	- •		erits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consider	ation.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) 🗌 🤄	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			` ,	
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approve	ed b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	ion.		
12)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				•
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been rece	ived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		e
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				lication)
a) The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional applicati	on has been rec	eived.	
Attachmen		•			
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 5	

Application/Control Number: 09/829,943

Art Unit: 1755

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15 and 20 drawn to a coating, classified in class 106, subclass varies.
- II. Claims 16-18 and 21, drawn to a coated substrate, classified in class 428, subclass varies.
- III. Claims 19 and22, drawn to a printing method, classified in class 101, subclass varies.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in a catalyst composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,943

Art Unit: 1755

Inventions I or II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed would have materially different uses such as a catalyst composition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-22 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a surface treated filler as set forth in claim 3, a binder as set forth in claim 9 and a specific filler as set forth in claim 9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicants must select one species for each of the three categories, namely one surface treatment, one filler and one binder. Applicants should include a list of all claims readable on the elected species.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/829,943

Art Unit: 1755

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 703-308-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ELIZABETH D. WOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

0/6/03